

Working thesis: Imposing sin taxes to balance government budgets merely creates more problems than it solves.

Point:	Counterpoint
Tax does not reduce obesity nor deter people from eating junk foods	"Promotes healthier eating and lifestyles"
Tax hurts lower income people the most	Increased cost of junk food, sugary drinks will deter people from buying the products
If junk food is reduced, jobs will be lost	Lives will be lost due to poor nutrition decisions
Lots of opposition (beverage industry, personal right to choose, junk food addicts)	Right choices such as increasing state/federal income or improving health are not always popular
Perverse reaction—junk food consumption may increase	Studies are contradictory. Some say consumption decreases; others don't
Impact on taxes generated, obesity decline is negligible	Any improvement, however small, is still improvement.
Application is arbitrary, confusing	Defining and differentiating junk foods will provide for healthier food choices

Working thesis: Imposing sin taxes to balance government budgets merely creates more problems than it solves.

Point:	Counterpoint
Tax does not reduce obesity nor deter people from eating junk foods	"Promotes healthier eating and lifestyles"
Tax hurts lower income people the most	Increased cost of junk food, sugary drinks will deter people from buying the products
If junk food is reduced, jobs will be lost	Lives will be lost due to poor nutrition decisions
Lots of opposition (beverage industry, personal right to choose, junk food addicts)	Right choices such as increasing state/federal income or improving health are not always popular
Perverse reaction—junk food consumption may increase	Studies are contradictory. Some say consumption decreases; others don't
Impact on taxes generated, obesity decline is negligible	Any improvement, however small, is still improvement.
Application is arbitrary, confusing	Defining and differentiating junk foods will provide for healthier food choices